



# Classifying collisions in road accidents using XGBOOST, CATBOOST and SALP SWARM based optimization algorithms

Insha Altaf<sup>1</sup> · Ajay Kaul<sup>2</sup>

Received: 15 June 2023 / Revised: 29 August 2023 / Accepted: 11 September 2023 /

Published online: 5 October 2023

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2023

## Abstract

Traffic accidents are the leading cause of death and injury in many developed nations. Anyone utilizing the road can meet an accident at any moment of time. The type of collision also plays a role in determining who is accountable for the accident. The biggest advantage of classifying collisions in road accidents can pave a way for safer roads and reduced accident rates. A novel approach is proposed for classifying the type of collisions that might take place between vehicles and near by pedestrians, obstacles etc. on roads. A total of six hybrid classifiers are introduced in this article namely “*XGBoost classifier using ISSA*”, “*XGBoost classifier using ESSA*”, “*XGBoost classifier using TVBSSA*”, “*CatBoost classifier using ISSA*”, “*CatBoost classifier using ESSA*”, and “*CatBoost classifier using TVBSSA*”. The dataset considered in this article is the SWITRS dataset for classifying “*Type\_of\_Collision*”. A total of 103000 accidents are considered when determining the “*Type\_of\_Collision*”. It classifies the type of collisions using XGBoost algorithm, CatBoost Algorithm and three Nature Inspired Algorithms (NIA's) have been used at the feature selection stage. The NIA's considered for feature selection includes Improved Salp Swarm Algorithm (ISSA), Enhanced Salp Swarm Algorithm (ESSA), and Time-Varying Binary Salp Swarm Algorithm (TVBSSA). It is concluded that *XGBoost classifier using ISSA* presents good stability with fewer hyper-parameters and the highest accuracy under different levels of training data volume. The value of Accuracy, Mean Square Error, and ROC-Auc in XGBoost using ISSA is 90.40, 0.1624 and 97.75, respectively. Moreover, the confusion matrix and evaluation metrics of *XGBoost classifier using ISSA* performed better than the other two approaches. The findings of this study would be helpful in classifying the “type of collision”. These findings are highly significant in smart city projects to effectively establish timely proactive strategies and improve road traffic safety.

**Keywords** Type of collision · Nature inspired algorithm · Improved salp swarm algorithm · Enhanced salp swarm algorithm · Time-varying binary salp swarm algorithm

✉ Insha Altaf  
insha.altaf39@gmail.com

Extended author information available on the last page of the article