

596 / Social Change: 51(4) 588-599, 2021

In conclusion, it can be said that Stankov, despite his original contributions to the study of populism, seems to have deviated from his initial quest of formulating a general theory of populism. Although his postulation of the theory of populist cycles explains the recurring character of the phenomenon, it is far from laying the claim of a general theory of populism. Stankov therefore may want to revisit his original quest in his future endeavours.

References

Abromeit, J. (2017). A critical review of recent literature on populism. *Politics and Governance*, 5(4), 177–186.

Acemoglu, D., Egorov, G., & Sonin, K. (2013). A political theory of populism. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 128(2), 771–805.

Bonikowski, B., Halikiopoulou, D., Kaufmann, E., & Rooduijn, M. (2019). Populism and nationalism in a comparative perspective: A scholarly exchange. *Nations and Nationalism*, 25(1), 58–81.

Moffitt, B. (2020). Populism. John Wiley & Sons.

Muller, J. (2016). What is populism? University of Pennsylvania Press.

Revelli, M. (2019). The new populism: Democracy stares into the abyss. Verso.

Stanley, B. (2008). The thin ideology of populism. *Journal of Political Ideologies*, 13(1), 95–110.

Urbinati, N. (2019. Me the people: How populism transforms democracy. Harvard University Press.

Dornbusch, R., & Edwards, S. (1990). Macro-economic populism. *Journal of Development Economics*, 32(2), 247–277.

Prateek P. Khobragade

Centre for Law and Governance Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi prateek.khbrgde@gmail.com

ANIL KUMAR VADDIRAJU, Federalism and Local Government in India. Delhi: Studium Press (India) Pvt Ltd., 2017, XVII + 232 pp., ₹1092. ISBN: 93-85046-10-1 (Hardcover).

ANIL KUMAR VADDIRAJU, SATYANARAYANA SANGITA AND KALA SEETHARAM SRIDHAR (Ed.), *Urban Governance in Karnataka and Bengaluru: Global Changes and Local Impacts*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing, 2016, 240 pp., £61.99. ISBN-10: 1443801259; ISBN-13: 978-1443801256 (*Hardcover*).

DOI: 10.1177/00490857211056861

E. M. S. Namboodripad in his note of dissent to the Ashok Mehta Committee, 1978, put forth a remarkable observation that, 'Democracy at the central and state levels, but bureaucracy at all lower levels—this is the essence of Indian polity...' That observation stands true even today. The situation has not changed even after more than 25 years after the passing of the 73rd and 74th Amendment